

GENERIC FEEDBACK ON YOUR PERFORMANCE IN LEVEL 6 BACHELORS DEGREE WITH HONOURS LEVEL DEGREE SEMINAR **Practical Examination**

Date: Click here to enter text. Name: Click here to enter text. Module: Click here to enter text. Degree: Click here to enter text.

Level: Click here to enter text. Attendance Level Click here to enter text. Comment: Click here to enter text.

Specific Comments on your performance: Click here to enter text.	Questioning	Listening	Fluency	Ability to contribute to discussion	Knowledge/ practical understanding displayed while discussing a topic	Knowledge displayed while leading on a topic	Performance Criteria
	☐ Focussed and pro-active. Supportive. ☐ Appropriately academic. ☐ Sophisticated critical insight.	Careful, leading to pertinent discussion.	Clarity of expression and argument, persuasive, using appropriate terms.	Generous, pertinent contributions/ judgements. Innovative.	☐Excellent. Deep and broad knowledge. ☐Aware of current research. ☐Sophisticated practical examples.	Excellent. Deep and broad knowledge. Aware of forefront research.	1 st Class (70-100)
	☐ Happy to question in a constructive manner. Comprehensive critical insight	Remains focussed but may miss some points.	Clear, easily understood. Listeners'/audience interest maintained.	☐Freely contributing ideas/judgements, but slightly limited content.	Good knowledge base with very few inaccuracies. Comprehensive practical examples.	Comprehensive knowledge base of forefront information with very few inaccuracies.	2:1 (60-69)
	☐ Occasional questions usually restricted to comprehension. ☐ Constructive attempt to offer critical insight.	☐Semi-focussed, may miss some key points.	Reasonably clear but not always easy for listeners'/ audience to understand.	☐ Hesitant contributions ideas/judgements. Limited content.	Sound knowledge base but noticeable factual/logical flaws. Pertinent practical examples.	Sound knowledge base but noticeable factual/logical flaws.	2:2 (50-59)
	☐Little and limited questioning.☐ Basic insight given.	☐Unfocussed, failing to respond to opportunities to contribute.	☐Lacks clarity, fails to maintain listeners'/audience interest.	☐Limited contributions ideas/judgements even when prompted.	☐Significant factual inaccuracies. ☐Basic Practical examples.	Significant factual inaccuracies. Weak arguments.	3rd Class (40-49)
MARK: Click here to enter text.	Unresponsive. Almost never asks relevant questions. Absence of insight.	Unresponsive. Disengaged from the seminar situation.	☐Incoherent. Minimal communication with listeners/ audience.	Unable to engage in meaningful discussion.	☐ Displays extremely limited knowledge. ☐ Lack of practical insight.	Displays extremely limited knowledge, analysis, or application	Fail (0-39)



Signed: Note: The mark awarded is provisional, subject to internal moderation and the decisions of the Examination Board Name of Assessor: Click here to enter text.

Marked by: Click here to enter text. Internally verified by: Click here to enter text.